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~ fare against the women as medical students, that onset was at first believed to~cause of honorable competition, or to a desire tohibited at the Pennsylvania Hospital~many sleepless male sex, no sane person will believe.

It was and is, had the motive~have a pure regard for the modesty of the fem~manner it was sprung, there has been~expected to move the patients who arrested the Quakers from the hospital and Jefferson College upon the class of

Though circumstances so shaped them~monopoly in medical science, or any other,~s of their every movement. This pamphlet does not disguise the fact~s is the removal of the Pennsylvania Hospital from under the hands of the Quakers. This denomination is, therefore, mercilessly

They were legally present and could not be~at the clinics of the Pennsylvania Hospital last time,~be moved to Dr. J. C. Dalton had some pre~inspection to the Pennsylvania Hospital last winter and their appointments turned. This at~the conduct of the doctors, then, are arguments of the doctors, then, are arguments of

We shall not pause the arguments of~are uniformly well conducted, successful, progressive. The community at large have faith in them.

But bear some of the arguments which are expected to move the contributors to tears, and cause them to drive out the best friends of the hospital with lashes and scour:

The old Quaker blood and the love of gain exist in the few leading managers of the hospital have misled them into the idea that young men and women might be brought together to look at and talk about all parts of the human body without any impropriety~without improper excitements and impulses.

What have we got here? An insult to the Quakers as a sect, a false charge that the managers sold tickets to females for the sake of gain, for the veriest fool knows that the proceeds of the clinic tickets~so to the support of the hospital, and that to the funds raised in this way aided the liberal subscriptions of our noblest citizens, the support is all too mea~for so prominent an institution.

Now mark the alacrity upon the Quaker religion, and the remarkable remonstrance of a conclusion:

And yet, strange to say, those same old Quakers at their "First-Day Meetings" carefully separate men and women into two and analogous opposite sides of their assemblies.

To rob this of all its effect we cannot. It goes too far into the depths of the sacred, and tends too sorely the heart of worship, to be cured by a word; but its force may be destroyed by simply re~accounting the fact that the women students separated themselves from the males, in the

The medical students are for the most part quite young, generally at the formative age between hot and manhood; the women students are relatively much older in years and in feelings as well as experience. Yet, in the recent outbreak in Pennsylvania Hospital, the women were the exciting cause of the state of mind in the toxics, which expeded itself in misplaced manifestations of anger, and it could be denied that with the hot blood of youth, gentlemanly instincts were never nor beneath irrelevant expressions of disgust or disapprobation toward a woman who exposes herself in public.

If the age of twenty-one, or, as we believe, a higher average, be that during the period of which the doctors speak, than their logic might have a hair to hang by. Who that has ever seen a class of medical students would be likely to charge them with impropriety on account of their youth? What one of those who last winter engaged in the assaults upon the women would not have resented the imputation that his being a boy was the cause of his indifference? Gentlemanly instincts undoubtly lurk beneath every indiscrline; expression; but of what use are they there? To be of avail they should control expression. The mean instigation that a doctor who attends to a clinic unsexes himself, that a man may serve to cap the climax of a medical argument; but it remains to be seen how far the repetition of the slander will affect the minds of a single contributor.

The pamphlet attempts to disguise the fact further that the only hope for the doctors is the removal of the Pennsylvania Hospital from under the hands of the Quakers. This pamphlet is, therefore, mercilessly

This atonement by the students~the managers sold thirty tickets to females when these students shall be notified, in order that they may withdraw; and, on their refusal to do so, the letter shall have the option of excluding the Quakers from the hospital.

Second. That female students shall only attend on one of the two clinical days of each week; and that on this day all cases deemed by the lecturer inappropriately, or improper, the patient to be withdrawn; and that a ticket shall be reserved for the last part of the hour, when these students shall be notified, in order that they may withdraw; and, on their refusal to do so, the letter shall have the option of excluding the Quakers from the hospital.

Third. The above arrangement is continued only until the expiration of the term for which the tickets have been already sold.

Fourth. These conditions are to be announced to the medical and surgical students.

Signed on behalf of the medical and surgical students.

We shall not pursue the arguments of the doctors further at this time. Their style and animas may be understood from what we have already presented. How much will they assist in the accomplishment of a foolish purpose? Will they, or do they have any weight with the contributors to the hospital? To suppose so would be to declare that men of wealth and standing, men of intelligence and a knowledge of the health of numerous employees, are to be moved by the veriest doctor's staff that imagination can concoct; that they can be so blinded by cobwebs as to pronounce in favor of sectarian monopoly, and against that freedom which sharpens the wits by
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Let us analyze the first pamphlet of the doctors. The doctors might not have been in their efforts to get absolute control of the Pennsylvania Hospital and block the avenues to scientific attainment, they have published a second one. It is far better in its dignity, if ever there is a possibility, and like its predecessor is designed only for secret circulation among the contributors to the hospital. This pamphlet opens with a subheadingle to the Quakers, and, in order to avoid as many heads as possible at a single blow, rolls them into the "Quaker Unit," that manages the managers as well as the hospital. This intimation that there is neglect of duty on the part of some of the managers afterwards swells into a charge to that effect.

Whether this charge is the result of recklessness or ignorance can make no difference to the public, for we presume it is generally known, or can be on a moment's inspection, that no question is decided by the board of managers without the actual presence of eight members, and the approval of any measure requires seven votes, or a majority of the whole board. To further disprove the charge, and to show as well that it springs from the grossest ignorance, or is prompted by a low desire to deceive, we refer the contributors to the minutes of the board, and call upon them to note the fact that at its meetings there is an average attendance of eleven members out of twelve, that there is a very small number of fines paid for absence, and that no excuse for absence is received, even though of that sickness, absence from the city or the United States be alleged.

Let us analyze this charge further, bearing in mind the facts we present below. If the "Quaker Unit" rules the board, then there must be some weak members who are capable of being ruled. These, we presume, are not Quakers; and as the doctors express no antipathy to them, they are either doctors or friends of scientific monopoly. If, therefore, the "Quaker Unit" can be ousted and a "Medical Unit" substituted, the weak ones can, by dint of manipulation and the triumph of the doctors will be complete. In some such shape as this the dream of the pamphleteer passed through his mind. Then let the issue be made on this. We feel certain that the very founders of the hospital, those who have stood by it in its hours of gloom and prosperity, those who have given it their money, time, and wisdom, those who have made it the model charity of the land, be they a "Quaker Unit" or what you please, will not be thrown aside for another "Unit" that seeks its own aggrandizement, and claims that science is not free to every one.

The charge of sectarian influence in the management of the Pennsylvania Hospital is not new. Of this long ago in 1851, and was then ably refuted by Dr. Geo. E. Wood in his history of the institution. But its renewal in the following shape shows little regard for the opinions and facts of an honorable member of the medical profession. We quote from the pamphlet: "On occasions of vacancy in the board of managers, during the absence and since the death of the excellent President, Mr. Lovejoy, the newly elected member has always been a Quaker." Test this by the facts. Since the period referred to twelve vacancies have occurred in the board of managers, and twelve new members elected each time. Of these five only six are members of the Society of Friends. Doctors may think it sometimes necessary to deceive their patients, but leading public opinion astray is quite another thing. The member of the board is alluded to in the pamphlet as being very officious, and as possessing an undue share of influence. With the hope of making him revolting to the sight of the contributors, he is first paraded as a doctor, then as a Trustee of the Female Medical College, and lastly as a "Woman's Rightist." Had the doctors not been laboring under delusions, they must have known that the gentlemen referred to is not, and never has been, a member of the Society of Friends. But we are at a loss to know how either of the three characters above alluded to disqualifies a man for the intelligent discharge of the duty of hospital manager, or at all impairs his philanthropy.

Following up these falsehoods with the pertinacity of a fly bluster, the doctors allege that "Quaker families have long had the preference, and to the first right, in the appointment of young resident physicians to the hospital." There is not a doctor in this city who does not know that the practice is, whereas qualification warrants it, to select the first from the list of applicants as resident physicians. And surely the profession cannot be ignorant of this fact, that of the fifteen resident and attending physicians attached to the three branches of the hospital, only two claim to be members of the Society of Friends.

Nearly every page of the pamphlet reiterates the charge that the managers are licensed by self-interest. If this be a reason for their removal, how, in heaven's name, can it operate as an argument, in favor of a "Medical Unit" in the board, given entirely up to selfish purposes? But what fact is more revealing in our local history than that the great popularity of the Pennsylvania Hospital is due entirely to its long list of philanthropists and wise managers? They have never asked for aid in vain, because the public had unbounded confidence in them. No manager has ever received a cent for services rendered in any form. All of them have contributed largely to the objects of the institution, those now living having from their private funds contributed an aggregate of more than twenty-five thousand dollars in cash. The doctors of Philadelphia number more than twice; they are numbered by the hundred. Have the doctors given a tithe of this amount? Have they contributed the positions of honor and profit about the hospital? Would they strike the hands that feed them? How superstitious that impudence which seeks one portion of the contributors to throw another portion of the contributors out, and place in their stead those who aim solely at professional monopoly.

We sincerely trust that no contributor to this noble charity will be led astray by the specious reasoning, the absolute falsehoods of these pamphlets. Their substance is an offence to Philadelphia, and their language degrading in the extreme, utterly unworthy a profession that possesses learning and independence. Nevertheless, we invite them carefully peruse, for after all they are their own best answer. Mean while the remote object of their publication should not be lost sight of.

In them the medical fraternity exposes the causes of all ailments as they prevail in this City, to the jest and insult last fall. As they would put it, it is the medical men against the medical women. As the public puts it, as we put it, as the narrow-mindedness, the professional selfishness of the schools against free medical science. It is an attempt to drag the public charities of Philadelphia down to the ebb of the universities; an attempt to chain philanthropy to the chariot wheels of a clique; an attempt to shut the door upon free inquiry, and make progress reactionary.